

STATEMENT OF UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL RESULTS FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

(₹ in crores)

SL NO.	PARTICULARS	QUARTER ENDED			YEAR ENDED
		30.6.2017 (Reviewed)	31.3.2017 (refer note 1)	30.6.2016 (Reviewed)	31.3.2017 (Audited)
1	Income from operations				
	a) Revenue from operations	2,047.70	2,225.18	1,867.46	8,221.23
	b) Other income	163.54	286.19	158.12	719.28
	Total income	2,211.24	2,511.37	2,025.58	8,940.51
2	Expenses				
	a) Cost of land, plots, constructed properties, development rights and others	863.63	1,106.47	896.79	3,465.78
	b) Employee benefits expense	78.25	78.96	74.23	328.32
	c) Finance costs	782.66	738.26	747.84	2,979.82
	d) Depreciation and amortisation expense	144.88	140.16	146.24	572.49
	e) Other expenses	202.70	329.54	151.60	993.84
	Total expenses	2,072.12	2,393.39	2,016.70	8,340.25
3	Profit before exceptional items, tax, share of (loss) in associates and joint ventures (1-2)	139.12	117.98	8.88	600.26
4	Exceptional items (net)	-	94.07	329.11	429.26
5	Profit before tax, share of (loss) in associates and joint ventures (3+4)	139.12	212.05	337.99	1,029.52
6	Tax expense*	17.90	53.72	54.95	229.27
7	Profit after tax and before share of (loss)/profit in associates and joint ventures (5-6)	121.22	158.33	283.04	800.25
8	Share of (loss) in associates and joint ventures (net)	(12.21)	(15.67)	(21.19)	(92.26)
9	Net profit for the period/year (7+8)	109.01	142.66	261.85	707.99
10	Other comprehensive income				
	a) Items that will not be reclassified to profit and loss	(0.42)	1.37	(0.23)	(7.31)
	b) Income tax relating to items that will not be reclassified to profit and loss	0.00	(0.59)	0.08	1.30
	c) Items that will be reclassified to profit or loss	2.72	(11.93)	-	(11.93)
	d) Income tax relating to items that will be reclassified to profit or loss	(1.08)	4.12	-	4.12
	Other comprehensive income/(loss)	1.22	(7.03)	(0.15)	(13.82)
11	Total comprehensive income for the period/year (9+10)	110.23	135.63	261.70	694.17
	Total comprehensive income attributable to:				
	Owners of the holding company	111.92	142.11	261.27	700.97
	Non-controlling interests	(1.69)	(6.48)	0.43	(6.80)
		110.23	135.63	261.70	694.17
12	Paid-up equity share capital	356.80	356.80	356.78	356.80
13	Other equity				24,216.03
14	Earnings per equity share (face value of ₹ 2 per share) (not annualised)				
	Basic (₹)	0.62	0.76	1.46	3.89
	Diluted (₹)	0.62	0.76	1.46	3.89

*Tax expense includes deferred tax

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Results

1. The above quarterly consolidated financial results have been reviewed by the Audit Committee and approved by the Board of Directors at its meeting held on August 12, 2017 and have undergone “Limited Review” by the Statutory Auditors of the Company. Figures for the quarter ended March 31, 2017 represents the balancing figures between the audited figures for the full financial year and the published year to date reviewed figures upto the third quarter of the financial year ended March 31, 2017.
2. The consolidated financial results are prepared in accordance with the recognition and measurement principles of Indian Accounting Standards as notified under the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015 as specified in Section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013.
3. In terms of the accounting policy for revenue recognition, estimates of revenues and costs are reviewed periodically by the management and the impact of any changes in such estimates are recognized in the period in which such changes are determined.
4. During the quarter, as per the Employee Stock Option Scheme 2006, the Company has allotted 18,483 equity shares of face value of ₹ 2/- each to the eligible employees of the Company on account of exercise of vested stock options.
5. In line with the provisions of Ind AS 108 – operating segments, the operations of the Group fall primarily under colonization and real estate business, which is considered to be the only reportable segment.
6. The Standalone financial results of the Company for the quarter and year ended June 30, 2017 are available on the Company’s Website (www.dlf.in).

Key standalone financial information is given below:

Particulars	(₹ in crores)			
	Quarter ended			Year ended
	June 30, 2017 (Reviewed)	March 31, 2017 (refer note 1)	June 30, 2016 (Reviewed)	March 31, 2017 (Audited)
Income from operations	1,080.08	1,416.97	907.76	4,405.30
Profit before tax	276.49	374.53	91.48	880.44
Net profit	184.69	233.80	67.46	596.55
Other comprehensive income	1.62	(7.13)	-	(10.75)
Total comprehensive income	186.31	226.67	67.46	585.80

7. The weighted average number of equity shares outstanding during the period has been considered for calculating the Basic and Diluted Earning per share (not annualised) in accordance with Ind AS 33, “Earnings per share”.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Results

8. Key Pending Matters:

- a) (i) The Competition Commission of India (CCI) on a complaint filed by the Belaire / Park Place owners Association had passed orders dated August 12, 2011 and August 29, 2011 wherein the CCI had imposed a penalty of ₹ 630 crores on DLF Limited (“DLF” or “the Company”) or, restraining DLF from formulating and imposing allegedly unfair conditions with buyers in Gurgaon and further ordered to suitably modify the alleged unfair conditions on its buyers.

The said orders of CCI were challenged by DLF on several grounds by filing appeals before the Competition Appellate Tribunal (COMPAT). The COMPAT, pending hearing and till final orders had granted stay on demand of penalty of ₹ 630 Crores imposed by CCI.

COMPAT vide its order dated May 19, 2014 accepted the arguments of DLF that since the agreements were entered into prior to coming into force of section 4 of the Act, the clauses of the agreements entered in 2006-07 could not be looked into for establishing contravention of section 4 of the Competition Act, however COMPAT held that the Company is a dominant player in Gurgaon being the relevant market and has abused its dominant position in relation to certain actions which is violative of Section 4 of the Act and has accordingly upheld the penalty imposed by CCI.

COMPAT further held that CCI could not have directed modifications of the Agreement as the power to modify the agreement under Section 27 is only in relation to Section 3 and cannot be applied for any action in contravention of Section 4 of the Act.

The Company had filed an appeal in the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India against the order dated May 19, 2014 passed by the COMPAT. The Hon’ble Supreme Court of India vide order dated August 27, 2014 admitted the Appeal and directed the Company to deposit penalty of ₹ 630 crore in the Court.

In compliance of the order, the Company had deposited ₹ 630 crores with the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India.

The appeals have been listed for arguments before Hon’ble Supreme Court of India.

- (ii) An order has been passed by CCI on May 14, 2015, against one of the Subsidiary Company relating to New Town Heights Project where CCI has directed the Company to cease and desist in implementation of the terms and conditions of Apartment Buyer Agreement which is found to be unfair and abusive.

No penalty has been imposed by CCI in the above case. The Subsidiary Company has filed an appeal before COMPAT against the said Order dated May 14, 2015 and appeals were dismissed by COMPAT. The Subsidiary Company against the order passed by COMPAT has filed an appeal before the Hon’ble Supreme Court.

The appeals have been listed for arguments before Hon’ble Supreme Court of India.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Results

- b) During the year ended March 31, 2011, the Company and two of its subsidiary companies received judgments from the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana cancelling the sale deeds of land relating to two IT SEZ/ IT Park Projects in Gurgaon. The Company and the subsidiary companies filed Special Leave petitions (SLPs) challenging the orders in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India had admitted the matters and stayed the operation of the impugned judgments till further orders in both the cases.

Based on the advice of the independent legal counsels, the management believes that there is a reasonably strong likelihood of succeeding before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Pending the final decisions on the above matter, no adjustment has been made in these consolidated financial results.

- c) (i) Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) had issued a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated June 25, 2013 under Sections 11(1), 11(4), 11A and 11B of the SEBI Act, 1992 ("the Act") read with clause 17.1 of the SEBI (Disclosure & Investor Protection) Guidelines, 2000 ("DIP Guidelines") and Regulation 111 of SEBI (Issue of Capital and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2009 ("ICDR Regulations"), and levelled certain allegations in the same.

The Company filed its reply with SEBI, placed written submissions and participated in the hearings conducted by the Hon'ble Whole Time Member, in which it replied to each allegation levelled in the said Show Cause Notice (SCN).

The Hon'ble Whole Time member however rejected the reply filed by the Company and vide its order dated October 10, 2014 restrained the Company and six others from accessing the securities market and prohibiting them from buying, selling or otherwise dealing in securities, directly or indirectly, in any manner, whatsoever, for a period of three years.

The Company filed an appeal against the said order before Securities Appellate Tribunal (SAT) which vide majority order dated March 13, 2015 allowed all the appeals and the impugned order passed by SEBI has been quashed and set aside.

SEBI has filed a statutory appeal under section 15Z of SEBI Act before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

On April 24, 2015, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India admitted the appeal ('Appeal') filed by SEBI and issued notice on interim application. No stay has been granted by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in favour of SEBI.

SEBI had filed an application stating that proposed sale of Compulsory Convertible Preference Shares ('CCPS') in DLF Cyber City Developers Limited, one of the unlisted subsidiary of the Company, by the promoters, to third party Institutional Investors should not be allowed during the pendency of the appeal and have sought stay from the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India on the proposed transactions. The Hon'ble Supreme Court did not pass any order and has kept the application to be heard along with the Appeal.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Results

- (ii) SEBI also issued a SCN dated August 28, 2013 under Sections 15HA and 15HB of the SEBI Act, 1992 and under Rule 4 of the SEBI (Procedure for Holding Inquiry and Imposing Penalties by adjudicating officer) Rules, 1995 (“Adjudication Rules”), hearing on which has been completed and the Company has filed its written synopsis/submissions.

By way of orders dated February 26, 2015, the adjudicating officer of SEBI imposed penalties upon company, some of its directors, officer, its three subsidiaries and their directors under Section 15HA and under Section 15HB of the SEBI Act, 1992.

The Company, its directors, officer, its three subsidiaries and their directors have filed appeal before SAT impugning the order dated February 26, 2015 passed by an Adjudicating Officer of SEBI. The appeal was listed before SAT and on April 15, 2015, SEBI had undertaken not to enforce the orders dated February 26, 2015 during pendency of the appeal. The appeals have been listed for hearing before SAT.

The management and its legal advisors strongly believe that it has not acted in contravention of law either during its initial public offer or otherwise. The Company has full faith in the judicial process and is confident of vindication of its stand in the near future.

9. i) As already reported, in the earlier period(s), disallowance of SEZ profits u/s 80IAB of the Income Tax Act, 1961 were made by the Income Tax Authorities during the assessments of the Company and its certain subsidiaries raising demands amounting to ₹ 10.56 crores for Assessment Year 2014-15; ₹ 77.50 crores for the Assessment Year 2013-14; ₹ 273.06 crores for the Assessment Year 2011-12; ₹ 305.79 crores for the Assessment Year 2010-11; ₹ 1,387.13 crores for the Assessment Year 2009-10 and ₹ 1,643.41 crores for the Assessment Year 2008-09 respectively.

The Company and its respective subsidiary companies had filed appeals before the appropriate appellate authorities against these demands for the said assessment years. In certain cases partial/full relief has been granted by the Appellate Authorities (CIT Appeal and Income Tax Appellate Tribunal). The Company, its respective subsidiaries and Income Tax Department have further preferred appeals before the higher authorities in those cases.

Based on the advice from independent tax experts and development on the appeals, the management is confident that additional tax so demanded will not be sustained on completion of the appellate proceedings and accordingly, pending the decision by the appellate authorities, no provision has been made in these consolidated financial results.

- ii) The petitions were filed before the Hon’ble Punjab & Haryana High Court challenging the action of the Haryana Government to acquire the land belonging to Gram Panchayat of village Wazirabad, District Gurgaon for public purpose and thereafter selling the same to the Company, seeking directions from the court for quashing of the acquisition proceedings under Section 4 & 6 dated August 8, 2003 and January 20, 2004.

The Petitioners therein also sought quashing of the award dated January 19, 2006 and the regular letter of allotment (RLA) dated February 9, 2010 issued in favour of the Company for 350.715 acres of land.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Results

The Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, vide its final order dated September 3, 2014, while upholding the acquisition of land has however disapproved the allotment in favour of the Company. The Hon'ble High Court passed an order to keep the RLA dated February 9, 2010 issued in favour of the Company in abeyance and further directed the Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation ('HSIIDC') to initiate fresh allotment process for higher returns in respect of the land in question with an option to State to revive the RLA in case no better bid is quoted by the public at large.

The Company had filed Special Leave Petition before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India challenging the judgment dated September 3, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India issued notice to the Respondents and directed status quo to be maintained by the parties.

Based on the advice of the independent legal counsels, the management believes that there is a reasonably strong likelihood of succeeding before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Pending the final decisions on the above matter, no adjustment has been made in these consolidated financial results.

On behalf of the Board of Directors

Place: Gurugram
Date: August 12, 2017

Mohit Gujral
CEO & Whole-time Director

Rajeev Talwar
CEO & Whole-time Director